This work is done in the University of Latvia with the support of European Regional Development Fund project Nr. 2013/0051/2DP/2.1.1.1.0/13/APIA/VIAA/009



IEGULDĪJUMS TAVĀ NĀKOTNĒ

#### Effect of EM field frequency on 3D melt convection during floating zone growth of silicon

Kirils Surovovs, Andrejs Sabanskis, Jānis Virbulis

Symposium in physics of continuous matter: "Environmental, electromagnetic and MHD technologies" 73rd scientific conference of University of Latvia Rīga, 20.02.2015.

# Content of the presentation

- Introduction
- Mathematical models
- Results
- Conclusions



- Floating zone process of single crystal growth can be modelled using FZone (axially symmetrical shape of phase boundaries) and fzsiFOAM (3D melt flow) programs
- In this presentation, an improvements in boundary conditions of these programs are described. These improvements allows to describe electromagnetic (EM) heat sources and Lorentz force more precisely.
- To verify these programs, results were compared to experimental data for different inductor current frequencies.

#### Mathematical model: phase boundaries

Main principles of FZone program:

- Temperature field in silicon is found using 2D finite element method.
- Thermal radiation (surfaces are optically grey) is solved using 3D view factor method for axially symmetrical shape.
- High-frequency EM field for heat sources on silicon is obtained using 3D boundary element method (distinct skin layer, 0.3 mm in melt).
- Phase boundaries between solid and liquid silicon are found considering balance of heat fluxes; for free surface – hydrostatical balance (capillarity, gravity, EM pressure).
- Quasi-stationary solution is obtained.



#### Mathematical model: melt flow

- fzsiFOAM program, based on OpenFOAM library:
  - velocity non-stationary, incompressible, laminar, Bousinesq approach for description of convection;
    u<sub>prol</sub>, m/s



## Mathematical model: EM field

- In the vicinity of external triple point (ETP) high-frequency (HF) approximation must be modified, because skin depth changes 5 times.
- Thus, EM correction algorithm was developed:
  - Vector potential  $\vec{A}$  of EM field is solved in complex number formulation. Only azimuthal currents are considered ( $\vec{A} = (0,0,A_z)$ ):  $\Delta A_z - i\omega\sigma\mu A_z = -\mu I$
  - Induced current and heat flow density in silicon:

 $j = -i \sigma \mu A_z$ ,  $q = \frac{|j|^2}{\sigma}$  results are implemented in FZone and fzsiFOAM programs as a correction in the vicinity of ETP.



## Results: phase boundaries



First, EM correction was used for axially symmetrical calculation of phase boundaries via FZone.

- Due to change in heat sources, inductor current increases to hold prescribed zone height (as it is required by calculation algorithm).
- Obtained shape of crystallization interface is closer to the experimental one (*ICG*, Berlin)

	<i>I,</i> A	H <sub>c</sub> , mm
Without EM correction	870	15.0
With EM correction	884	16.2
Experiment	-	16.9

## Calculations of melt flow



Heat source correction (denoted by q on/ off) and Lorentz force correction (f on/off) were considered separately.

## Results: 3D melt flow



Time-averaged (5 s) meridional velocity field in the vertical slice of melt

# Results: 3D melt flow



#### Time-averaged (5 s) temperature and dopant concentration fields

## Results and analysis



Influence of heat source correction is very small. Lorentz force correction leads to more homogeneous profile due to strong meridional convection.

Radial distribution of crystal resistivity





- Due to nonzero angle between current lines and ETP line, EM force correction should be different from heat source correction.
- Additional analysis was performed considering the case when current flows perpendicular to the surface between solid and liquid silicon. Results were included in 3D melt flow calculations.

#### Lorentz force correction



Time-averaged (5 s) meridional velocity in vertical slice for calculations with precized Lorentz force correction (a), «big» correction (b) and without Lorentz force correction (c).

## Results: crystal resistivity



The more precise description of Lorentz force correction didn't improve correspondence to experiment. It can be explained with "threshold effect": when EM force is stronger than Marangoni force, it becomes principal in melt motion and further increase in it do not influence the flow so much.



#### Results: melt temperature



1712 1710 1700 1687

- EM correction of heat sources leads to small increase in temperature.
- EM correction has vanishing influence on T distribution on melt free surface, because it is integrally small.
- Distribution is very dependent on azimuthal direction.

a is azimuthal coordinate, a = 0 below the main inductor slit

#### Results: melt temperature



Even with twice smaller force correction, temperature distribution remains highly distorted. Flow is very similar for different *f* corrections because the flow is determined by which of EM and Marangoni forces is stronger. For the cases with *f* correction, temperature maxima is shifted from the main slit due to intense convection. But still small undercooling of 0.2-0.3 K occurs.

## Results: Marangoni coefficient



Z. F. Yuan, K. Mukai, and W. L. Huang. *Surface tension and its temperature coefficient of molten silicon at different oxygen potentials*. Langmuir, 18:20542062, 2002.

Mean square difference - to quantitatively check the correspondence

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(\rho_i - \bar{\rho})^2}{n}}$$

As Lorentz force correction do not improve model's correspondence to experiment, it is necessary to look at other details, that influence force balance in melt.

traditionally used, but non-realistic

parameter study



# Results: current frequency



(right) on melt free surface

Radial resistivity distribution, averaged azimuthally and in time.

# Conclusions

- Axially symmetrical EM correction in FZone allows to describe phase boundaries more precisely: it increases the deflection of the crystallization interface.
- 3D EM correction of heat sources eliminates (in 2D) and reduces (in 3D) undercooling near the ETP.
- 3D EM correction of heat sources only slightly improves correspondence between calculated and experimental RRV profiles.
- 3D EM correction of Lorentz force dramatically changes melt flow and makes this correspondence much worse (for traditional Marangoni coefficient).
- The use of heat source and Lorentz force corrections together with the increase of Marangoni coefficient to realistic values improve the correspondence between calculated and experimental RRV profiles
- Calculations with lower frequency clearly show experimental tendencies in radial resistivity profile – minima shifts closer to the axis and profile becomes more homogeneous.

# Thank you for attention!