This work is done in the University of Latvia with the support of European Regional Development Fund project Nr. 2013/0051/2DP/2.1.1.1.0/13/APIA/VIAA/009



# 3D simulation of feed rod melting in floating zone silicon single crystal growth

#### Matīss Plāte, Armands Krauze, Jānis Virbulis

University of Latvia Faculty of physics and mathematics

Symposium in physics of continuous matter: "Environmental, electromagnetic and MHD technologies" 73<sup>rd</sup> scientific conference of University of Latvia Rīga, 20.02.2015

# Open melting front of the feed rod

#### Overall view of the system



### Inhomogeneous structure



Th. Duffar. Crystal Growth Processes Based on Capillarity, 2010

#### Instabilities

Formation of spikes or "noses" deteriorate the process



M. Wünscher. PhD Thesis, 2011

# Existing models for the open melting front

- Only axisymmetric
- The shape is taken from experimental data



# The shape is obtained numerically

Fluid film model



G. Ratnieks et al. JCG, 2003

# Mathematical model

#### Aim

Time-dependent distribution of the local melting rate on the open melting front

## Balance of the heat flux densities

- Heat conduction q<sub>diff</sub>
- Thermal radiation q<sub>rad</sub>
- Induced heat sources q<sub>EM</sub>

Separate models for:

### Temperature field

Heat flux in the feed rod due to diffuse heat transfer

#### HF EM field

Induced heat sources on the open front

#### Liquid silicon layer

For the correction of the EM heat sources because heat sources are induced in both  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{phases}}$ 

Melting of the open front found from the heat flux balance

disbalance causes melting or crystallization

## Temperature field

## Equation

3D unsteady heat conduction in the feed rod:

$$\rho_{\rm s} c_{\rm p} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left( \lambda(T) \vec{\nabla} T \right) \tag{1}$$

 $ho_{\rm s}$  – density  $c_{\rm p}$  – heat capacity at constant pressure  $\lambda(T)$  – temperature dependent heat conductivity

No convective terms – reference frame linked to the feed rod

## Boundary conditions

- Constant temperature on the melting fronts:  $T_0 = 1687 \,\mathrm{K}$
- On the side surface:  $\lambda(T)\frac{\partial T}{\partial n} = \epsilon(T) \left(q_{\text{inc}} \sigma_{\text{SB}} T^4\right) + q_{\text{EM}}$

## HF EM field

## Main equations

- Introduction of the linear current density  $\vec{j}$ :  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 0$
- Electrical vector potential  $\vec{\Psi} = (0, 0, \Psi_n)$ :  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\Psi} = \vec{j}$

### Induced power density

$$q_{\rm EM} = \underbrace{\frac{f_{\rm I}^2}{\delta_{\rm I}\sigma_{\rm I}}}_{\substack{\rm Infinite \\ \rm liquid \\ \rm layer}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1 - (1 - \kappa) e^{-2l}}{1 - 2 (1 - \kappa) e^{-l} \cos l + (1 - \kappa)^2 e^{-2l}}}_{\text{Correction due to finite layer thickness}}$$
(2)  
Parameters:  $\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\rm S}}{\sigma_{\rm I}}}$  un  $l = \frac{h}{\delta_{\rm I}}$ , where  $h$  – thickness of the fluid film

## Liquid silicon layer

## Equation

Unsteady mass conservation law:

$$\iint_{S} \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{d}S = -\oint_{\partial S} \vec{q} \cdot \mathrm{d}\vec{l} + \iint_{S} v_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{s}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{l}}} \mathrm{d}S \tag{3}$$

- Velocity is integrated over the film thickness:  $\vec{q} = \int_0^h \vec{v} dh$
- Balance of friction and tangential forces:  $-\eta \frac{d^2 \vec{v}}{dh^2} = \vec{f}$



Parabolic velocity distribution in the fluid film and force equilibrium

# Melting of the open front

Local melting rate:

$$v_{\rm m} = \frac{q_{\rm EM} - q_{\rm diff} - q_{\rm rad}}{L\rho_{\rm s}} \tag{4}$$

L – latent heat

- Heat flux in feed rod:  $q_{\text{diff}} = -\lambda_s \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}$
- Net radiation power density:  $q_{\mathsf{rad}} = \epsilon_l \left(\sigma_{\mathsf{SB}} T_0^4 q_{\mathsf{inc}}\right)$



Balance of the heat fluxes

## Overall calculation scheme



# Fluid film calculations

## Discretization

• Transient equation for the fluid film:

$$\frac{h_1 - h_0}{\Delta t_h} = \frac{1}{5} \Sigma \Delta l_i q_i + v_m \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_m} \quad (5)$$

Pressure due to surface tension:

$$p_{\rm K} = \gamma \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sum \vec{n}_{\triangle} \cdot (\vec{s}_1 \times \vec{n})}{\sum \vec{S}_{\triangle} \cdot \vec{n}} \qquad (6)$$





Numerical scheme

Calculation results

Conclusions

## Generation of 3D element mesh







2D finite elements

Axisymmetric *FZone* calculations

3D boundary elements HF EM field calculations Volume elements

Unsteady temperature field calculations

Surface points coincide for all meshes

# The considered 4" FZ system from ICG, Berlin Inductor



#### Process parameters

Parameter and designation		Value	Dimension
Target zone height	Hz	32.5	mm
Inductor current frequency	f	3	MHz
Crystal pull rate	Vc	2.55	mm/min
Feed rod push rate	Vf	2.76	mm/min
Crystal radius	$R_{\rm crys}$	51	mm
Feed rod radius	R <sub>feed</sub>	49	mm

## Time development of the open front melting

## Azimuthal profile at the feed rod rim



The shape stabilizes after  $\approx 5\,$  periods

## Comparison between axisymmetric and 3D results

## Radial profiles of the open front



# Melting of the open front

## Distribution of the induced power density



- Differences of the induced power density up to  $\kappa=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\rm s}}{\sigma_{\rm l}}}\approx 4.9$  times

# Melting of the open front

## Distribution of the fluid film thickness and melting velocity



- Highest fluid film thickness around the neck
- Lowest fluid film thickness near the feed rod rim
- Melting rate corresponds to the EM heat source distribution

# Influence of rotation period

## Azimuthal profiles of the open front



## Influence of process parameters

#### Azimuthal profiles of the open front



18

# Conclusions

- For the first time a 3D transient mathematical model for feed rod melting has been developed and implemented in a calculation program
- The shape of the open front tends to stabilize after several feed rod revolutions
- The obtained 3D shape of the open melting front remains close to the axisymmetric profile with differences of order  $\sim 1\,mm$
- The asymmetry increases with:
  - higher pulling velocity
  - lower feed rod rotation rate
  - narrower main slit of the inductor
- The obtained results are useful for a comparison with experimental data

# Thank you for your attention!