A CONSTRUCTION OF AN ORTHOMODULAR POSET WITH QUANTIFIERS

Jānis Cīrulis University of Latvia

email: jc@lanet.lv

Summer School on Genral Algebra and Ordered Sets 2010 Malenovice, September 4–10, 2010



IEGULDĪJUMS TAVĀ NĀKOTNĒ

Projekts Nr. 2009/0216/1DP/1.1.1.2.0/09/APIA/VIAA/044

OVERVIEW

- 1. Information frames
- 2. Prelogic of a frame
- 3. Logic of a frame
- 4. Quantifiers on a logic

Let

- X be a set of *variables*,
- V be a family of sets $(V_x: x \in X)$, each V_x being the *value set* for x,
- \preccurlyeq be a preorder on X ($x \preccurlyeq y$ is read x (functionally) depends on y),
- D be a family of surjective mappings $d_x^y: V_y \to V_x$ (*dependencies*) with $x \preccurlyeq y$, such that

$$d_x^x = \mathrm{id}_{V_x}, \quad d_x^y d_y^z = d_x^z,$$

Let

- X be a set of *variables*,
- V be a family of sets $(V_x: x \in X)$, each V_x being the *value set* for x,
- \preccurlyeq be a preorder on X ($x \preccurlyeq y$ is read x (functionally) depends on y),
- D be a family of surjective mappings $d_x^y: V_y \to V_x$ (*dependencies*) with $x \preccurlyeq y$, such that

$$d_x^x = \mathrm{id}_{V_x}, \quad d_x^y d_y^z = d_x^z,$$

Informally:

- if $x \preccurlyeq y$ and the current value of y is v, then $d_x^y(v)$ is the current value of x,
- if $x \preccurlyeq y$ and the current value of x is u, then the current value of y belongs to $(d_x^y)^{-1}(u)$.

Let

- X be a set of *variables*,
- V be a family of sets $(V_x: x \in X)$, each V_x being the *value set* for x,
- \preccurlyeq be a preorder on X ($x \preccurlyeq y$ is read x (functionally) depends on y),
- D be a family of surjective mappings $d_x^y: V_y \to V_x$ (*dependencies*) with $x \preccurlyeq y$, such that

$$d_x^x = \mathrm{id}_{V_x}, \quad d_x^y d_y^z = d_x^z,$$

Informally:

- if $x \preccurlyeq y$ and the current value of y is v, then $d_x^y(v)$ is the current value of x,

- if $x \preccurlyeq y$ and the current value of x is u, then the current value of y belongs to $(d_x^y)^{-1}(u)$.

Two or more variables y_i are said to be *compatible* if there is an variable which all y_i depend on.

Variables x and y are said to be *equivalent* if each of them depends on the other.

We assume that

values of several variables can be detected simultaneously (if and) only if these variables are compatible.

We assume that

values of several variables can be detected simultaneously (if and) only if these variables are compatible.

It could be reasonable to assume also that

every compatible subset Y of X is represented by a single variable, i.e., there is a variable x such that

- all variables in Y depend on \boldsymbol{x}
- (i.e., x is an upper bound of Y w.r.t. \preccurlyeq),
- the current value of x is completely determined by

the current values of variables from \boldsymbol{Y}

(i.e., x is a least upper bound of Y).

We assume that

values of several variables can be detected simultaneously (if and) only if these variables are compatible.

It could be reasonable to assume also that

every compatible subset Y of X is represented by a single variable, i.e., there is a variable x such that

- all variables in Y depend on x
 - (i.e., x is an upper bound of Y w.r.t. \preccurlyeq),
- the current value of x is completely determined by

```
the current values of variables from \boldsymbol{Y}
```

 \leftarrow

(i.e., x is a least upper bound of Y).

This assumption, in particular, should turn every initial segment of X into a complete prelattice. We shall restrict our consideration to finite compatible subsets and finitary operations.

- any two compatible elements x and y have a l.u.b. $x \curlyvee y$,
- there is a least element 0,

Therefore, any finite compatible subset of X has a l.u.b.,

- any two compatible elements x and y have a l.u.b. $x \curlyvee y$,
- there is a least element 0,
 Therefore, any finite compatible subset of X has a l.u.b.,
- any two elements x and y have a g.l.b. $x \downarrow y$,

- any two compatible elements x and y have a l.u.b. $x \Upsilon y$,
- there is a least element 0,

Therefore, any finite compatible subset of X has a l.u.b.,

- any two elements x and y have a g.l.b. $x \downarrow y$,
- the pair $(d_x^{x \uparrow y}, d_y^{x \uparrow y})$ injectively embeds $V_{x \uparrow y}$ into $V_x \times V_y$ i.e., for all $u, v \in V_{x \uparrow y}$,

if $d_x^{x \uparrow y}(u) = d_x^{x \uparrow y}(v)$ and $d_y^{x \uparrow y}(u) = d_y^{x \uparrow y}(v)$, then u = v.

Informally, x and y are *independet*, if this embedding is actually a bijection.

- any two compatible elements x and y have a l.u.b. $x \curlyvee y$,
- there is a least element 0,

Therefore, any finite compatible subset of X has a l.u.b.,

- any two elements x and y have a g.l.b. $x \downarrow y$,
- the pair $(d_x^{x \uparrow y}, d_y^{x \uparrow y})$ injectively embeds $V_{x \uparrow y}$ into $V_x \times V_y$ i.e., for all $u, v \in V_{x \uparrow y}$,

if $d_x^{x \wedge y}(u) = d_x^{x \wedge y}(v)$ and $d_y^{x \wedge y}(u) = d_y^{x \wedge y}(v)$, then u = v.

Informally, x and y are *independet*, if this embedding is actually a bijection.

• V_0 is a singleton.

- any two compatible elements x and y have a l.u.b. $x \curlyvee y$,
- there is a least element 0,

Therefore, any finite compatible subset of X has a l.u.b.,

- any two elements x and y have a g.l.b. $x \downarrow y$,
- the pair $(d_x^{x \uparrow y}, d_y^{x \uparrow y})$ injectively embeds $V_{x \uparrow y}$ into $V_x \times V_y$ i.e., for all $u, v \in V_{x \uparrow y}$,

if $d_x^{x \wedge y}(u) = d_x^{x \wedge y}(v)$ and $d_y^{x \wedge y}(u) = d_y^{x \wedge y}(v)$, then u = v.

Informally, x and y are *independet*, if this embedding is actually a bijection.

• V_0 is a singleton.

We call a triple (X, V, D) satisfying the above requirements an *information* frame.

F := (X, V, D) be an information frame. $B := (B_x: x \in X)$ be the family of sets, where each B_x is the powerset of V_x .

F := (X, V, D) be an information frame. $B := (B_x: x \in X)$ be the family of sets, where each B_x is the powerset of V_x .

If $x \preccurlyeq y$, then there are derived mappings

• $\pi_x^y: B_y \to B_x$ defined by $\pi_x^y(b) := \{ d_x^y(v) \colon v \in b \} \quad (= \text{image of } b),$

•
$$\varepsilon_y^x \colon B_x \to B_y$$
, defined by
 $\varepsilon_y^x(a) := \{ v \in V_y \colon d_x^y(v) \in a \}$ (= inverse image of a).

F := (X, V, D) be an information frame. $B := (B_x: x \in X)$ be the family of sets, where each B_x is the powerset of V_x .

If $x \preccurlyeq y$, then there are derived mappings

$$\varepsilon_y^x(a) := \{ v \in V_y : d_x^y(v) \in a \} \quad (= \text{ inverse image of } a).$$

Roughly:

The family $(B_x, \varepsilon_y^x)_{x \preccurlyeq y}$ has a certain limit, which gives rise to the logic L of F, while the inverse family $(B_y, \pi_x^y)_{x \preccurlyeq y}$ induces a family of quantifiers on L.

F := (X, V, D) be an information frame. $B := (B_x: x \in X)$ be the family of sets, where each B_x is the powerset of V_x .

If $x \preccurlyeq y$, then there are derived mappings

•
$$\pi_x^y: B_y \to B_x$$
 defined by
 $\pi_x^y(b) := \{ d_x^y(v) : v \in b \}$ (= image of b),
• $\varepsilon_y^x: B_x \to B_y$, defined by
 $\varepsilon_y^x(a) := \{ v \in V_y : d_x^y(v) \in a \}$ (= inverse image of a).

Roughly:

The family $(B_x, \varepsilon_y^x)_{x \preccurlyeq y}$ has a certain limit, which gives rise to the logic L of F, while the inverse family $(B_y, \pi_x^y)_{x \preccurlyeq y}$ induces a family of quantifiers on L.

L will appear as an appropriate quotient algebra of the direct sum of all Boolean algebras B_x .

 \leftarrow

A pair (x, a) with $a \in B_x$ can be treated as an *event* "the value of x lies in a". E := the set of all events in F.

A pair (x, a) with $a \in B_x$ can be treated as an *event* "the value of x lies in a". E := the set of all events in F.

We write

$$(y,b) \subseteq (x,a)$$
 to mean that $x = y$ and $b \subseteq a$,
 $(y,b) \leq (x,a)$ to mean that $x \preccurlyeq y$ and $\pi_x^y(b) \subseteq a$.

A pair (x, a) with $a \in B_x$ can be treated as an *event* "the value of x lies in a". E := the set of all events in F.

We write

$$(y,b) \subseteq (x,a)$$
 to mean that $x = y$ and $b \subseteq a$,
 $(y,b) \leq (x,a)$ to mean that $x \preccurlyeq y$ and $\pi_x^y(b) \subseteq a$.

Under the ordering \subseteq , every subset $E_x := \{x\} \times B_x$ of E becomes a Boolean algebra isomorphic to B_x . In fact, E is a disjoint union of the algebras E_x .

A pair (x, a) with $a \in B_x$ can be treated as an *event* "the value of x lies in a". E := the set of all events in F.

We write

$$(y,b) \subseteq (x,a)$$
 to mean that $x = y$ and $b \subseteq a$,
 $(y,b) \leq (x,a)$ to mean that $x \preccurlyeq y$ and $\pi_x^y(b) \subseteq a$

Under the ordering \subseteq , every subset $E_x := \{x\} \times B_x$ of E becomes a Boolean algebra isomorphic to B_x . In fact, E is a disjoint union of the algebras E_x . The preorder $\leq (subsumption)$ is an extension of \subseteq .

(a) Any two events (x, a) and (y, b) have a l.u.b. w.r.t. \leq . (b) Events (x, a) and (y, b) have a g.l.b. w.r.t. \leq if and only if x and y are compatible (iff (x, a) and (y, b) have a lower bound).

(a) Any two events (x, a) and (y, b) have a l.u.b. w.r.t. \leq . (b) Events (x, a) and (y, b) have a g.l.b. w.r.t. \leq if and only if x and y are compatible (iff (x, a) and (y, b) have a lower bound).

The definitions

$$\begin{aligned} & (x,a) \lor (y,b) := (x \land y, \ \pi^x_{x \land y}(a) \cup \pi^y_{x \land y}(b)), \\ & (x,a) \land (y,b) := (x \curlyvee y, \ \varepsilon^x_{x \curlyvee y}(a) \cap \pi^y_{x \curlyvee y}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

provide corresponding operations of join and meet.

(a) Any two events (x, a) and (y, b) have a l.u.b. w.r.t. \leq . (b) Events (x, a) and (y, b) have a g.l.b. w.r.t. \leq if and only if x and y are compatible (iff (x, a) and (y, b) have a lower bound).

The definitions

$$\begin{aligned} & (x,a) \lor (y,b) := (x \land y, \ \pi^x_{x \land y}(a) \cup \pi^y_{x \land y}(b)), \\ & (x,a) \land (y,b) := (x \curlyvee y, \ \varepsilon^x_{x \curlyvee y}(a) \cap \pi^y_{x \curlyvee y}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

provide corresponding operations of join and meet.

There is also a natural involutive operation $^{\perp}$ on E defined by

$$(x,a)^{\perp} := (x, V_x \setminus a).$$

(a) Any two events (x, a) and (y, b) have a l.u.b. w.r.t. \leq . (b) Events (x, a) and (y, b) have a g.l.b. w.r.t. \leq if and only if x and y are compatible (iff (x, a) and (y, b) have a lower bound).

The definitions

$$\begin{aligned} & (x,a) \lor (y,b) := (x \land y, \ \pi^x_{x \land y}(a) \cup \pi^y_{x \land y}(b)), \\ & (x,a) \land (y,b) := (x \curlyvee y, \ \varepsilon^x_{x \curlyvee y}(a) \cap \pi^y_{x \curlyvee y}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

provide corresponding operations of join and meet.

There is also a natural involutive operation $^{\perp}$ on E defined by

$$(x,a)^{\perp} := (x, V_x \setminus a).$$

We call the preordered partial algebra (E, \wedge, \vee, \bot) the *prelogic* of F. Each Boolean algebra E_x is a subalgebra of E, and E is a direct sum of these.

 \hookrightarrow

Events (x, a) and (y, b) are said to be *equivalent* (in symbols, $(x, a) \simeq (y, b)$) if there is $c \in B_{x \land y}$ such that both a and b are preimages of c:

$$a = \varepsilon_x^{x \wedge y}(c)$$
 and $b = \varepsilon_y^{x \wedge y}(c)$.

(then, informally, (x, a) occurs iff $(x \land y, c)$ occurs iff (y, b) occurs).

Events (x, a) and (y, b) are said to be *equivalent* (in symbols, $(x, a) \simeq (y, b)$) if there is $c \in B_{x \land y}$ such that both a and b are preimages of c:

$$a = \varepsilon_x^{x \wedge y}(c)$$
 and $b = \varepsilon_y^{x \wedge y}(c)$.

(then, informally, (x, a) occurs iff $(x \land y, c)$ occurs iff (y, b) occurs). (Events belonging to the same E_x are equivalent iff they are equal.)

Events (x, a) and (y, b) are said to be *equivalent* (in symbols, $(x, a) \simeq (y, b)$) if there is $c \in B_{x \land y}$ such that both a and b are preimages of c:

$$a = \varepsilon_x^{x \wedge y}(c)$$
 and $b = \varepsilon_y^{x \wedge y}(c)$.

(then, informally, (x, a) occurs iff $(x \land y, c)$ occurs iff (y, b) occurs). (Events belonging to the same E_x are equivalent iff they are equal.)

This relation is indeed an equivalence relation; the corresponding equivalence classes $[x, a] := (x, a)/\simeq$ are called *propositions*.

For example, $(x, V_x) \simeq (y, V_y)$ and $(x, \emptyset) \simeq (y, \emptyset)$ for all x and y. Put $1 := [x, V_x], \quad 0 := [x, \emptyset].$ **Proposition.** Let *L* stand for the set E/\simeq of all propositions, and let $L_x := E_x/\simeq$. Then

- each L_x is isomorphic to E_x (and B_x),
- $L = \bigcup (L_x: x \in X),$
- $L_x \subseteq L_y$ if and only if $x \preccurlyeq y$,
- $L_{x \downarrow y} = L_x \cap L_y$,
- $L_0 = \{0, 1\}.$

Proposition. Let *L* stand for the set E/\simeq of all propositions, and let $L_x := E_x/\simeq$. Then

- each L_x is isomorphic to E_x (and B_x),
- $L = \bigcup (L_x: x \in X),$
- $L_x \subseteq L_y$ if and only if $x \preccurlyeq y$,
- $L_{x \land y} = L_x \cap L_y$,
- $L_0 = \{0, 1\}.$

Two or more propositions are *coherent* if all of them belong to some L_x .

 \leftarrow

Proposition. The operations $^{\perp}$ and \wedge are stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

Proposition. The operations $^{\perp}$ and \wedge are stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

It is not the case with \lor , and we set for $p, q \in L$

 $p \lor q := (p^{\perp} \land q^{\perp})^{\perp}.$

Proposition. The operations $^{\perp}$ and \wedge are stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

It is not the case with \lor , and we set for $p, q \in L$

$$p \lor q := (p^{\perp} \land q^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

We call the ordered partial algebra $(L, \land, \lor, \downarrow, 0, 1)$ the *logic* of F. It is a partial Boolean algebra in the following sense. **Proposition.** The operations $^{\perp}$ and \wedge are stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

It is not the case with \lor , and we set for $p, q \in L$

$$p \lor q := (p^{\perp} \land q^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

We call the ordered partial algebra $(L, \land, \lor, \bot, 0, 1)$ the *logic* of F. It is a partial Boolean algebra in the following sense.

Theorem 1.

(a) The relation \leq induced on L by the subsumption relation \leq of E is an ordering.

(b) $p \wedge q$ (equivalently, $p \vee q$) is defined if and only if the propositions p and q are coherent. If it is the case, then $p \wedge q$ is the meet, and $p \vee q$ is the join of p and q w.r.t. to \leq . (There may be other meets and joins as well.)

(c) Each L_x supports a Boolean subalgebra of L isomorphic to B_x .

Proposition. The operations $^{\perp}$ and \wedge are stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

It is not the case with \lor , and we set for $p, q \in L$

$$p \lor q := (p^{\perp} \land q^{\perp})^{\perp}.$$

We call the ordered partial algebra $(L, \land, \lor, \bot, 0, 1)$ the *logic* of F. It is a partial Boolean algebra in the following sense.

Theorem 1.

(a) The relation \leq induced on L by the subsumption relation \leq of E is an ordering.

(b) $p \wedge q$ (equivalently, $p \vee q$) is defined if and only if the propositions p and q are coherent. If it is the case, then $p \wedge q$ is the meet, and $p \vee q$ is the join of p and q w.r.t. to \leq . (There may be other meets and joins as well.)

(c) Each L_x supports a Boolean subalgebra of L isomorphic to B_x .

L itself is a Boolean algebra if and only if all variables in X are compatible.

Write $p \perp q$ for $p \leq q^{\perp}$.

Write $p \perp q$ for $p \leq q^{\perp}$.

Theorem 2. The system $(L, \leq, {}^{\perp}, 0, 1)$ is an orthomodular poset, i.e., satisfies the conditions

 \leftarrow

- $(L, \leq, 0, 1)$ is a poset with 0 the least and 1 the greatest element,
- the operation $^{\perp}$ is an orthocomplementation on L:
 - $p \leq q$ implies that $q^{\perp} \leq p^{\perp}$,
 - $p^{\perp\perp} = p,$
 - $1 = p \lor p^{\perp}, \ 0 = p \land p^{\perp},$
- $p \perp q$ implies that $p \lor q$ is defined,
- $p \leq q$ implies that $q = p \lor r$ for some (unique!) $r \perp p$.

Recall that a(n existential) quantifier on a Boolean algebra A may be characterised as an operation Q on A that fulfills the following conditions:

- $a \leq Qa$,
- if $a \leq b$, then $Qa \leq Qb$,
- $Q((Qa)^{\perp}) = (Qa)^{\perp}$,

or either as a closure operator whose range is a subalgebra of A.

Recall that a(n existential) quantifier on a Boolean algebra A may be characterised as an operation Q on A that fulfills the following conditions:

- $a \leq Qa$,
- if $a \leq b$, then $Qa \leq Qb$,
- $Q((Qa)^{\perp}) = (Qa)^{\perp}$,

or either as a closure operator whose range is a subalgebra of A.

Now let L be the algebra of propositions of a frame F. We define a *quantifier* on L to be a closure operator whose range is a *total* subalgebra of L.

Recall that a(n existential) quantifier on a Boolean algebra A may be characterised as an operation Q on A that fulfills the following conditions:

- $a \leq Qa$,
- if $a \leq b$, then $Qa \leq Qb$,
- $Q((Qa)^{\perp}) = (Qa)^{\perp}$,

or either as a closure operator whose range is a subalgebra of A.

Now let L be the algebra of propositions of a frame F.

We define a *quantifier* on L to be a closure operator whose range is a *total* subalgebra of L.

Proposition. An operation Q on L is a quantifier if and only if it satisfies the above conditions and

 $Qp \wedge Qq$ exists whenever $p \wedge q$ exists.

Proposition. For every $y \in X$, the operation Q_y on E defined by $Q_y(x, a) := (x \land y, \pi^x_{x \land y}(a))$

is stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

Proposition. For every $y \in X$, the operation Q_y on E defined by

 $Q_y(x,a) := (x \land y, \pi^x_{x \land y}(a))$

is stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

Equivalently,

 $Q_y(x,a) = (x,a) \lor (y,\emptyset).$

Proposition. For every $y \in X$, the operation Q_y on E defined by

 $Q_y(x,a) := (x \land y, \pi^x_{x \land y}(a))$

is stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

Equivalently,

$$Q_y(x,a) = (x,a) \lor (y,\emptyset).$$

Theorem 3. In L,

(a) every operation Q_y is a quantifier with the range L_y , (b) $Q_{x \downarrow y} = Q_x Q_y$.

Proposition. For every $y \in X$, the operation Q_y on E defined by

 $Q_y(x,a) := (x \land y, \pi^x_{x \land y}(a))$

is stable w.r.t. \simeq and can be transferred to L.

Equivalently,

$$Q_y(x,a) = (x,a) \lor (y,\emptyset).$$

Theorem 3. In L,

(a) every operation Q_y is a quantifier with the range L_y , (b) $Q_{x \land y} = Q_x Q_y$.

We call the algebra $(L, \wedge, \vee, {}^{\perp}, Q_x, 0, 1)_{x \in X}$ the *quantifier logic* of F.