

IEGULDĪJUMS TAVĀ NĀKOTNĒ

# Theory of quantum computing

Andris Ambainis University of Latvia

European Social Fund project "Datorzinātnes pielietojumi un tās saiknes ar kvantu fiziku" Nr.2009/0216/1DP/1.1.1.2.0/09/APIA/VIAA/044

## Quantum computing

 New model of computing, based on quantum mechanics.
 More powerful than conventional (classical) computing.

## Factoring

▶ 6231540623 = 93599 \* 66577.

Given 6231540623, find factors?

For large (300 digit) numbers conventional computers are too slow.

Shor, 1994: quantum computers can factor large numbers efficiently.

#### Quantum search



N objects;
 Find an object with a certain property.

Grover, 1996: can be done in  $O(\sqrt{N})$  quantum steps.



# Cryptography

amazon.com



- Two parties who want to communicate secret information.
- Communication channel that may be eavesdropped.

# Quantum cryptography

amazon.com





If quantum state (e.g. polarization of photon) is measured, the measurement changes the state.

Security guaranteed by quantum mechanics.

# Implementing quantum cryptography

Transmitting quantum information:
 Faint laser pulse (1 photon per pulse) + polarizer;

Receiving quantum information:
 polarizing beam splitter + single photon detector.

# **Commercially available systems**



MagiQ Technologies



Quantum communication over optical cable. 1Mb/s over 20km distance. 10 kb/s over 100km distance.



## Next steps





*Quantum communication over air* 

*Quantum communication via satellites* 

#### Implementing quantum computing

Divincenzo criteria (1997):

- Well defined quantum bits;
- Reliable state preparation;
- Low decoherence;
- Accurate quantum gate operations;
- Strong quantum measurements.

### Implementing QC with photonics

Photon-polarization:



Time bin encoding.
 Fock state encoding (presence/absence of a photon).

# University of Bristol, 2009

- Photonic implementation of Shor's factoring algorithm.
- ➤ 3 quantum bits.
- ▶ 15=3\*5.



A. Politi, J. C. F. Matthews, J. L. O'Brien, Science 325, 1221 (2009)

# Quantum computing research at University of Latvia

# QCS project

#### FP7 FET-Open project

- "Quantum Computer Science", 2010-2013
- 1. University of Latvia coordinator;
- 2. University of Bristol (UK);
- 3. Cambridge University (UK);
- 4. University of Paris Diderot (France);
- 5. Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (Netherlands);
- 6. Tel Aviv University (Israel);
- 7. Universite Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium);
- 8. Institut de Ciences Fotoniques (Spain);

# **Research directions**

- 1. Algorithms for quantum computers.
- 2. Impossibility results for quantum algorithms.
- 3. Quantum cryptography, quantum nonlocality.
- 4. Mathematical questions about quantum states.

# Formula evaluation



# **Evaluating AND-OR trees**

AND OR OR X1 X2 X3 X4

Variables x<sub>i</sub> accessed by queries to a black box:  $\geq$  Input i;  $\triangleright$  Black box outputs x<sub>i</sub>. Quantum case:  $\sum_{i} a_{i} |i\rangle \rightarrow \sum_{i} a_{i} (-1)^{x_{i}} |i\rangle$ Evaluate T with the

smallest number of

queries.

## Our results

 ▷ [A, Childs, Reichardt, Spalek, Zhang, 2007]: O(N<sup>1/2+o(1)</sup>) time quantum algorithm for evaluating any logic formula of size N.
 ▷ [Reichardt, 2010]: O(√N) quantum algorithm.

### The problem

 $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$  $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$ 

 $a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$ 

Given a<sub>ij</sub> and b<sub>i</sub>, find x<sub>i</sub>.
 Best classical algorithm: O(N<sup>2.37...</sup>).

# Harrow, Hassidim, Lloyd, 2008

 $a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$  $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$ 

 $a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$ 

Running time for producing  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i |i\rangle$ : O(log<sup>c</sup> N), but with dependence on two other parameters.

Exponential speedup, if the other parameters are good.

# Dependence on other parameters Condition number of A.

- $k = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}}}{\mu_{\text{min}}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mu_{\text{max}} \text{ and } \mu_{\text{min}} \text{biggest} \\ \text{and smallest} \\ \text{eigenvalues of A} \end{array}$
- [HHL, 2008]: O(k<sup>2</sup> log<sup>c</sup> N).
  [A, 2010]: O(k<sup>1+o(1)</sup> log<sup>c</sup> N).

When can we achieve big quantum speedups?

# Examples



 $\mathbf{X}_1 \quad \mathbf{X}_2 \quad \mathbf{X}_3 \qquad \mathbf{X}_N$ 

#### > Period-finding:

- Promise: exists p:  $x_{i+p} = x_p$ .
- O(1) queries quantumly\*;
- $\Theta(N^{1/4})$  queries classically.
- \* with some assumptions on x<sub>i</sub>.

# Polynomial vs. exponential speedups

Search: is there i:x<sub>i</sub>=1? Period-finding: find p: x<sub>i</sub>=x<sub>i+p</sub>.

**Symmetric** 

Non-symmetric

# [Aaronson, A, 2011]

- Let f(x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>N</sub>) symmetric w.r.t. permuting x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>N</sub> and permuting possible values for x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>N</sub>.
- If f computable by quantum algorithm with Q queries, then f – computable with O(Q<sup>9</sup>) queries.