

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference “New Challenges in Economic and Business Development” (PROCEEDINGS)

Authors, reviewers and the Programme Committee members (Editorial Board) are fully committed to good practice and accept the responsibilities as set by the Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

LEGAL NOTICE

Neither the University of Latvia, nor any person acting on its behalf may be held responsible for the use to which information contained in PROCEEDINGS may be put, nor for any errors which may appear despite careful preparation and checking.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

In case of acceptance, PROCEEDINGS will publish the author(s) contribution on the Conference website (<https://www.bvef.lu.lv/en/conf>), the author(s) may publish the contribution on his/her personal Web page or any other web page provided that he/she creates a link to the mentioned PROCEEDINGS. PROCEEDINGS may publish the contributions in other databases and web pages.

The Author(s) warrants that his/her contribution is original, except for such excerpts from copyrighted works as may be included with the permission of the copyright holder and author thereof, that it contains no libellous statements, and does not infringe on any copyright, trademark, patent, statutory right, or propriety right of others. The Author(s) also agrees for and accepts responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of all co-authors.

PRIVACY STATEMENT

The copyright of the published work is retained by the authors. PROCEEDINGS provide free access to all the papers published without any obligations or restrictions.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF EDITORS

Publication decisions

The Editorial Board is responsible for deciding which of the papers submitted to the PROCEEDINGS will be published. The Editorial Board evaluates papers without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, or citizenship. The decision will be based on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, validity of the study and its relevance to the PROCEEDINGS's scope. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism should also be considered.

Confidentiality

The Editorial Board members must not disclose any information about a submitted paper to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the editor or the members of the Editorial board for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF REVIEWERS

All conference papers undergo the double-blind reviewing process. The peer-reviewing process assists the Editorial board in making editorial decisions and may also serve the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the paper or knows that the prompt review would not be possible should notify the Editorial Board as soon as possible.

Confidentiality

Any paper received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorised by the Editorial Board.

Standards of objectivity

All paper reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of sources

Reviewer should identify cases in which relevant published work referred to in the paper has not been cited in the reference section. The reviewer should point out whether observations or arguments derived from other publications are accompanied by the respective source citation. Reviewers should notify the Editorial Board in case of any substantial similarity or overlap between the paper under review and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider papers in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHORS

Reporting standards

Authors of original research papers should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Data access and retention

Authors could be asked to provide the raw data of their study together with the paper for editorial review and should be prepared to make the data publicly available if practicable, provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release.

Originality, plagiarism policy and acknowledgement of sources

Authors should submit only entirely original works, appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited. PROCEEDINGS will judge any case of detected plagiarism on its own merits.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

In general, papers describing essentially the same research should not be published in more than one PROCEEDINGS/journal. Submitting the same paper to more than one PROCEEDINGS/journal constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors.

The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should include a statement disclosing any financial or other substantive conflicts of interest that may be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their paper. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published paper, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the PROCEEDINGS Editorial board and retract or correct the paper.

Research Involving Human or Animal Subjects

If research involves human or animal subjects, a statement including the project identification code, date of approval, and name of the ethics committee or institutional review board must be stated in Section 'Institutional Review Board Statement' of the paper.

PROCEEDINGS stay neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Based on: Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2011, March 7). Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. Retrieved from https://publicationethics.org/files/Code_of_conduct_for_journal_editors_Mar11.pdf