

17th International Young Scientist conference "Developments in Optics and Communications" 2021

In-vivo diagnostics of skin malformations using multimodal RGB imaging

Laura Dambite















Melanoma



Laser device



Suspicious skin malformations such as melanoma and basal cell carcinoma requires a histological examination that is precise, but invasive.

Basal cell carcinoma







OF LATVIA

Our devices



Multispectral laser device (448 nm, 532 nm, 659 nm)



UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY







Multispectral LED device (460 nm, 535 nm, 663 nm)







Chromophore concentration calculation



Laser device		LED device		
With noise				
Without noise				

Subtracts noise from images







448 nm

Using the RGB crosstalk correction algorithm, three images – one for each wavelength – are extracted in laser device case







Using a stabilization algorithm and black marker, the images are combined to prevent motion artefacts in LED device case















$$k_i = \frac{I_i}{I_{0_i}}$$

 I_i – intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin pathology, I_{0_i} – intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin,



Calculate the mean values from the healthy skin area for each of the wavelengths and divide the area of interest by them to obtain three attenuation coefficients k_i





Algorithms for skin chromophore mapping

Beer-Lambert law: $I = I_0 e^{-\mu_a \cdot l}$

l –photon mean path length in the skin μ_a – absorption coefficient

I – intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin malformation, I_0 – intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin,

First approach

Positive chromophore concentration values 1,4%





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_1) + c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_0$

 $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_2) + c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_0$

 $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_3) + c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_0$

Mel – melanin, *Oks* – oxyhemoglobin, *Deoks* – deoksihemoglobin,

l –photon mean path length in the skin

$$k_i = \frac{I_i}{I_{0_i}}$$

$$p_{ks}(\lambda_{1}) + c_{Deoks} \cdot \varepsilon_{Deoks}(\lambda_{1}) = \frac{ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{1})}{I(\lambda_{1})}}{2,303 \cdot l(\lambda_{1})}$$

$$p_{ks}(\lambda_{2}) + c_{Deoks} \cdot \varepsilon_{Deoks}(\lambda_{2}) = \frac{ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{2})}{I(\lambda_{2})}}{2,303 \cdot l(\lambda_{2})}$$

$$p_{ks}(\lambda_{3}) + c_{Deoks} \cdot \varepsilon_{Deoks}(\lambda_{3}) = \frac{ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{3})}{I(\lambda_{3})}}{2,303 \cdot l(\lambda_{3})}$$

 ε – extinction coeficient, c – chromophore concentration, *I* – intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin malformation, I_0 – intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin,

 k_i – attenuation coefficient

Second approach

Positive chromophore concentration values 0,03%





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_1) \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 + (c_{\text{Oks}})$

 $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_2) \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 + (c_{\text{Oks}})$

 $c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_3) \cdot \mathbf{d}_3 + (c_{\text{Oks}})$

Mel – melanin, Oks – oxyhemoglobin, Deoks – deoksihemoglobin,

l –photon mean path length in the skin

$$k_i = \frac{I_i}{I_{0_i}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{0\mathrm{ks}}(\lambda_{1}) + c_{\mathrm{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Deoks}}(\lambda_{1})) \cdot (1 - \mathrm{d}_{1}) + z_{1} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{1})}{I(\lambda_{1})}}{2,303 \cdot I(\lambda_{1})}$$

$$\varepsilon_{0\mathrm{ks}}(\lambda_{2}) + c_{\mathrm{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Deoks}}(\lambda_{2})) \cdot (1 - \mathrm{d}_{2}) + z_{2} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{2})}{I(\lambda_{2})}}{2,303 \cdot I(\lambda_{2})}$$

$$\varepsilon_{0\mathrm{ks}}(\lambda_{3}) + c_{\mathrm{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Deoks}}(\lambda_{3})) \cdot (1 - \mathrm{d}_{3}) + z_{3} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{3})}{I(\lambda_{3})}}{2,303 \cdot I(\lambda_{3})}$$

 ε – extinction coeficient, c – chromophore concentration,

I – intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin malformation,

 I_0 – intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin,

 k_i – attenuation coefficient

 d_i – part of the light that is absorbed in the epidermis at the wavelength λ_i , z_i – loss coefficient – describes the part of the light absorbed by other chromophores

Third approach

Positive chromophore concentration values 2,57%





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY

$$\begin{cases} c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_{1}) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{11}}}{\mu_{a_{11}}}} \cdot l_{11} + (c_{0\text{ks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{0\text{ks}}(\lambda_{1}) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_{1})) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{12}}}{\mu_{a_{12}}}} \cdot l_{12} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{1})}{I(\lambda_{1})}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 2,303} \\ c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_{2}) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{21}}}{\mu_{a_{21}}}} \cdot l_{21} + (c_{0\text{ks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{0\text{ks}}(\lambda_{2}) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_{2})) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{22}}}{\mu_{a_{22}}}} \cdot l_{22} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{2})}{I(\lambda_{2})}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 2,303} \\ c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_{3}) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{31}}}{\mu_{a_{31}}}} \cdot l_{31} + (c_{0\text{ks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{0\text{ks}}(\lambda_{3}) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_{3})) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{32}}}{\mu_{a_{32}}}} \cdot l_{32} = \frac{\ln \frac{I_{0}(\lambda_{3})}{I(\lambda_{3})}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 2,303} \end{cases}$$

Mel – melanin, *Oks* – oxyhemoglobin, *Deoks* – deoksihemoglobin,

 ε – extinction coeficient, c – chromophore concentration, *l* –photon mean path length in the skin μ_a – absorption coefficient μ'_{s} – reduced scattering coefficient

- *I* intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin malformation,
- I_0 intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin,

Fourth approach

Positive chromophore concentration values 1,35%





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY

$$\begin{cases} c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_1) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{11}}}{\mu_{a_{11}}}} \cdot l_{11} + (c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Oks}}(\lambda_1) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_1)) \cdot B_1} = \frac{ln \frac{l_0(\lambda_1) \cdot Nn_1}{l(\lambda_1)}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 4,606} \\ c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_2) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{21}}}{\mu_{a_{21}}}} \cdot l_{21} + (c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Oks}}(\lambda_2) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_2)) \cdot B_2} = \frac{ln \frac{l_0(\lambda_2) \cdot Nn_2}{l(\lambda_2)}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 4,606} \\ c_{\text{Mel}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Mel}}(\lambda_3) \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu'_{s_{31}}}{\mu_{a_{31}}}} \cdot l_{31} + (c_{\text{Oks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Oks}}(\lambda_3) + c_{\text{Deoks}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{Deoks}}(\lambda_3)) \cdot B_3} = \frac{ln \frac{l_0(\lambda_1) \cdot Nn_2}{l(\lambda_2)}}{\sqrt{3} \cdot 4,606} \end{cases}$$

 ε – extinction coeficient, c – chromophore concentration, *I* – intensity of diffused reflected light from the skin malformation, I_0 – intensity of diffused reflected light from the healthy skin, *l* –photon mean path length in the skin μ_a – absorption coefficient μ'_{s} – reduced scattering coefficient *Nn* – interlayer reflection coefficient

$$B_{\rm i} = \sum_{n=2}^{5} \sqrt{1 + \frac{{\mu'}_{s_{in}}}{\mu_{a_{in}}}} \cdot l_{\rm in}$$

Mel – melanin, *Oks* – oxyhemoglobin, *Deoks* – deoksihemoglobin,



Results





White light 663 nm Oxyhemoglobin Melanin concentration, mM concentration, mM 0.5 0 $\overline{c_{Mel}}=0,68\,mM$ $\overline{c_{Ox}} = -0,08 \ mM$

Chromophore maps





-0.005

-0.01

-0.015

-0.02







UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA INSTITUTE OF ATOMIC PHYSICS AND SPECTROSCOPY



- Fibr-2 Melan-1
- Pigm-2

~×



First approach for Laser images



Melanin, Laser - 1v, 1mm





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA





Second approach for *LED* images







UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA

Deoxy-Hb, LED - 2v, 1mm





Second approach for *Laser* images







UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA











UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA









UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA



Fourth approach for LED images

~~



-0.015

Melanin, LED - 4v, 1mm





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA



Melanin, Laser - 4v, 1mm

000

Fourth approach for Laser images



000





UNIVERSITY OF LATVIA











- The results showed that it is possible to distinguish different types of malformations by comparing the mean values, however the standard deviations overlaps.
- The first approach distinguishes hemangiomas quite well by comparing the hemoglobin and oxyhemoglobin values.
- The third approach showed better results compared to the others due to the largest number of positive concentration values.
- Additional measurements of skin malformations including malignant and suspicious malformations are required for further evaluation of the algorithm.

Conclusion

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the ERDF #1.1.1/18/A/132 "Multimodal imaging technology for in-vivo diagnostics of skin malformations".



project

